

ANZACA2022: Poster and Oral Presentation Marking Rubric

© 2022

Number	Presenter's name:						
	Reviewer's name:						
Basics							
	Conforms to ANZACA submission guidelines	5	4	3	2	1	0
	Font, spelling, and grammar are correct throughout	5	4	3	2	1	0
	Acronyms are defined on first use	5	4	3	2	1	0
Design							
	Concept organisation (Does the poster/presentation follow logical organisation?)	5	4	3	2	1	0
	Appearance (Graphic quality, size, spacing, white space, images and image relevance, text colour etc.)	5	4	3	2	1	0
	Amount of text (Too much/ too little)	5	4	3	2	1	0
Content							
	Problem, or clinical question, is identified and explained	5	4	3	2	1	0
	Objectives, methods, results, and conclusions are clearly stated	5	4	3	2	1	0
	Conclusions are justified and put in context of existing knowledge / literature as required	5	4	3	2	1	0
	Figures are pertinent, clear, and well labelled	5	4	3	2	1	0
	Clarity (Is the research clear?)						
Presentation							
	Engaging	5	4	3	2	1	0
	Language is clear, concise and accurate	5	4	3	2	1	0
Statistics							
	The statistical analysis is appropriate and clearly presented	5	4	3	2	1	N/A
Total score							
Comments:							

Please note: 5 is the highest score and 1 is the lowest. It is unlikely someone will get a zero.

Acknowledgement: This rubric has been modified from Hess's Criteria, 3MT criteria and institutional rubrics used to gauge quality of honours posters and presentations. A special thanks to A/Professor Mike Piper for sharing the criteria with ANZACA Organising Committee.